Will the future Internet look like what we have today?

Scott Bradner Harvard University sob@harvard.edu 5/20/2003



















What is the IETF?, contd.

- ◆ IETF documents all open
- Internet-Drafts
 - **anyone** can submit expire in 6 months some I-Ds are working group documents
- RFCs (stands for "RFC") archival publications (never changed once published) different types: (not all RFCs are standards!) informational, experimental, BCP, standards track, historic
- 3-step standards track
 Proposed Standard, Draft Standard, Internet Standard
 interoperability not conformance

Copyright © 2003 Scott Bra

Copyright © 2003 Scott B

Hot IETF Topics

- ♦ SUB-IP
 - MPLS, GMPLS, IPO, TE, VPNs, L2 over IP/MPLS
- base Internet protocols
 - IPv6, TCP enhancements, SCTP, DCCP, RMT, mobile IP
- Internet emergency use
- location-based technology
- ♦ security
 - IPSec, secure email, etc
- ♦ routing
 - BGP update, IS-IS, routing futures, multicast

OC IEEE- 12

Hot IETF Topics, contd.

- management
 - SNMPv3, XML-based, policy-based
- ♦ applications
 - LDAP, iCal, IM, FAX, email, webdav
- transport area stuff

Transport Area

- AD from March 1997 to March 2003
- ◆ 39 working groups during that time (currently 26)
- ◆ 233 RFCs (92-03), 216 (March 97 March 03)
- ◆ 126 Proposed Standards
- ♦ 1 Draft Standard
- ♦ 10 BCPs
- ♦ 18 Experimental

Copyright © 2003 Scott B





TSV PS RFCs

♦ RPC, NFS, iSCSI

◆ RTP plus payloads, RTSP

- ◆RSVP, intserv, diffserv
- SDP, SIP plus extensions, PINT, ENUM, MEGACO, TRIP, sigtran
- ♦ IPPM metrics
- SACK, SCTP, TCP retrans & loss rec, CM, TCPfriendly rate control

♦ ROHC, ECN, STUN

TSV BCPs

♦ tcpsat

- congestion control principles
- ♦ pilc
- inappropriate TCP resets harmful

♦ SIP-T

◆ SIP over 2.5G & 3G wireless

C IEEE- 18

Copyright © 2003 Scott Br

IP Telephony or Internet Telephony?



<section-header> EPPREP Internet Emergency Preparedness WG is in ficant disconnect between parties regulators: must have way to prioritize emergency traffic ISPs: no need in backbone, can not have problem that this will fix regulators: any place, any time enterprises: you are not coming in here! agulators: only "official" emergency workers ISPs: also need to support emergency communications for customers

QoS

 unfair allocation of resources under congestion conditions
 Bill pays to get Fred's traffic dropped

- latency, drop rate, jitter
- implies priority or special handling

technology needed or is bandwidth enough?

 the Internet in the U.S. is not reliably crappy enough for a ISP QoS business model but telcos still dream of "biz net"

very different story in enterprises

















